IS THE BIBLE FULL OF ERRORS & CONTRADICTIONS? (Inerrancy)

• E.g. Mark 2:2	6
-----------------	---

	X X 71		1 '1 1' 1	•		•	1
•	Whv	15	hiblical	inerrancy	LSO	important'	7
	* * * * *	10	Ololloui	montaine	, 50	1111 por turit	•

A.	Un	derstanding the Evidence	for Inerrancy		
	1.	The	of	supports inerrancy.	(Num. 23:19; Titus 1:2; Heb. 6:18
	2.	The	_ of	support inerra	ancy. (Ps. 119:160; Pro. 30:5)
	3.	The	_ of	supports iner	rancy. (Matt. 5:17-18; John 10:35)
В.	Un	doing Misconceptions abo	out Inerrancy		
	1.	Biblical inerrancy does no that it is recorded	t mean that every	statement recorded in (Gen. 3:4-5; Job 1; Co.	the Bible is a true statement, but r. 3:19)
	2.	•			ot cite truth. (Luke 1:1-4; Acts 17:23-28;
	3.	Biblical inerrancy does no language. (Job 38:31; 40:1			and ob 26:7, 10)
	4.	Biblical inerrancy does no language. (Isaiah 55:12)	t exclude the use	of	or

5.	Biblical inerrancy does not exclude the use of	(John 6:10; 1 Cor. 10:8)
	• What about the 400 vs. 430 years in Genesis 15:13; Exodus 12:40;	; Acts 7:6; Gal. 3:17?
6.	Biblical inerrancy does not exclude (Deut. 30:12-14; Rom. 10:6-8)	or the use of
7.	Biblical inerrancy allows for different supplement and complement, rather than contradict, one another. (Ge vs. Acts 1:15-19; Matt. 28:5 / Mark 16:5 vs. Luke 24:4 / John 20:12)	n. 1 vs. Gen. 2; Matt. 27:1-8
8.	Biblical inerrancy allows for the of divine revelation changes over time. (Gen. 4:17; 5:4; 20:21; Lev. 18:11 & Lev. 11:9-12	
9.	Biblical inerrancy does not mean that the thousands of who copied and translated the Bible were preserved from making copy	_ andying or translation errors.
	Is this view on biblical inerrancy new to modern-day evangelicalis	em?
	Why do people ultimately reject biblical inerrancy?	
	• How does all of this apply to you?	